

A Condition for Distinguishing Sceneries on Non-abelian Groups

Martin Hildebrand*

September 3, 2015

Abstract

A scenery f on a finite group G is a function from G to $\{0, 1\}$. A random walk $v(t)$ on G is said to be reconstructive if the distributions of 2 sceneries evaluated on the random walk with uniform initial distribution are identical only if one scenery is a shift of the other scenery. Previous results gave a sufficient condition for reconstructivity on finite abelian groups. This paper gives a ready generalization of this sufficient condition to one for reconstructivity on finite non-abelian groups but shows that no random walks on finite non-abelian groups satisfy this sufficient condition.

1 Introduction

In [2], Finucane, Tamuz, and Yaari considered the question of scenery reconstruction on finite abelian groups and built upon results of Matzinger and Lember [3]. Finucane, Tamuz, and Yaari posed a number of open questions. One question involves finding a sufficient condition for reconstructivity for finite non-abelian groups similar to a condition proved for finite abelian groups. In this paper, we shall develop such a condition, but we shall also show that this condition is never satisfied if the group is non-abelian. The techniques used involve Fourier transforms on the group and the Plancherel formula.

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University at Albany, State University of New York, Albany, NY 12222. E-mail: mhildebrand@albany.edu

Consider a graph with vertex set V and edge set E . A function $f : V \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is said to be a *scenery*. Let $v(t), t \in \mathbb{N}$ be the position of a particle performing a random walk on this graph. If f_1 and f_2 are two sceneries, can an observation of $\{f_1(v(t))\}$ and $\{f_2(v(t))\}$ distinguish which scenery was observed? In this paper, we shall focus on the case where the vertices correspond to elements of a finite group.

We shall define a random walk $v(t), t \in \mathbb{N}$ on a finite group G as follows. $v(1)$ has some distribution. Let $Z_1, Z_2, \dots$ be i.i.d. random elements of G , and let $v(t+1) = Z_t v(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{N}$. The step distribution γ of this random walk is given by $\gamma(s) = \mathbb{P}(Z_n = s)$.

Definition: Let $\gamma : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the step distribution of a random walk $v(t)$ on a finite group G so that $v(1)$ is picked uniformly from the elements of G . Note that $\gamma(k) = \mathbb{P}(v(t+1)v(t)^{-1} = k)$. Then $v(t)$ is said to be *reconstructive* if the distributions of $\{f_1(v(t))\}_{t=1}^\infty$ and $\{f_2(v(t))\}_{t=1}^\infty$ where f_1 and f_2 are sceneries on G are identical only if f_1 is a shift of f_2 , i.e. there exists a $g \in G$ such that $f_1(k) = f_2(kg)$ for all $k \in G$.

To generalize the sufficient condition in [2], we use representation theory of finite groups and Fourier analysis. Let ρ be a representation of G ; in other words, ρ is a function from G to $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ for some positive integer n such that $\rho(st) = \rho(s)\rho(t)$ for all $s, t \in G$. The value n is called the degree of ρ and is denoted d_ρ . Define the Fourier transform of f on ρ by $\hat{f}(\rho) = \sum_{s \in G} f(s)\rho(s)$. A representation ρ is said to be irreducible if the only subspaces H of $\mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\rho(s)H = H$ for all $s \in G$ are the zero subspace and $\mathbb{C}^n$ where $n = d_\rho$. Representations ρ_1 and ρ_2 are said to be equivalent if for some invertible matrix A , $\rho_1(s) = A\rho_2(s)A^{-1}$ for all $s \in G$. For more details on representation theory, see chapter 2 of Diaconis [1] or Serre [4].

The generalization of the sufficient condition in [2] (Theorem 1.2) is given by the following.

Theorem 1 *Let γ be the step distribution of a random walk $v(t)$ on a finite group G . Then $v(t)$ is reconstructive if the following condition holds:*

If $\sum d_{\rho_1} \dots d_{\rho_n} \text{Tr}((\hat{\gamma}(\rho_1)^{\ell_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \hat{\gamma}(\rho_n)^{\ell_n}) \hat{J}_n(\rho_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_n)) = 0$ for all positive integers $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n$ implies that $J_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in G$ where the sum is such that $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n$ each range over all irreducible representations of G up to equivalence, then f can be reconstructed up to shifts.

We also show

Theorem 2 *If G is a non-abelian group, then no random walk $v(t)$ satisfies the condition of Theorem 1.*

2 Proof of Theorem 1

The proof of this theorem is an adaptation of the proof of Theorem A.1 in [2]. The proof in [2] uses Fourier analysis on finite abelian groups; the proof here uses Fourier analysis on groups which may be non-abelian.

Define the *spatial autocorrelation* $a_f(\ell)$ for $\ell \in G$ by

$$a_f(\ell) = \sum_{k \in G} f(k)f(\ell k).$$

Its Fourier transform is given by the following proposition.

Proposition 1 $\hat{a}_f(\rho) = \hat{f}(\rho)\hat{g}(\rho)$ where $g(s) = f(s^{-1})$.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{a}_f(\rho) &= \sum_{\ell \in G} a_f(\ell)\rho(\ell) \\ &= \sum_{\ell \in G} \sum_{k \in G} f(k)f(\ell k)\rho(\ell) \\ &= \sum_{k \in G} \sum_{\ell \in G} f(k)f(\ell k)\rho(\ell k)\rho(k^{-1}) \\ &= \sum_{k \in G} f(k) \left(\sum_{\ell \in G} f(\ell k)\rho(\ell k) \right) \rho(k^{-1}) \\ &= \sum_{k \in G} f(k) \left(\sum_{s \in G} f(s)\rho(s) \right) \rho(k^{-1}) \\ &= \sum_{k \in G} f(k)\hat{f}(\rho)\rho(k^{-1}) \\ &= \hat{f}(\rho) \sum_{k \in G} f(k)\rho(k^{-1}) \\ &= \hat{f}(\rho) \sum_{k \in G} g(k^{-1})\rho(k^{-1}) \\ &= \hat{f}(\rho) \sum_{t \in G} g(t)\rho(t) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \hat{f}(\rho)\hat{g}(\rho)$$

□

Define the *temporal autocorrelation* by

$$b_f(\ell) = \mathbb{E}(f(v(T))f(v(T + \ell)))$$

for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ where the choice of $T \in \mathbb{N}$ is immaterial since the random walk is stationary.

We can relate the spatial and temporal autocorrelations by the following.

Proposition 2

$$b_f(\ell) = \frac{1}{|G|^2} \sum_{\rho} d_{\rho} \text{Tr}(\hat{\gamma}(\rho)^{\ell} \hat{h}(\rho))$$

where $h(s) = a_f(s^{-1})$ for $s \in G$ and the sum is over all irreducible representations ρ up to equivalence.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} b_f(\ell) &= \mathbb{E}(f(v(T))f(v(T + \ell))) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{k \in G} \mathbb{E}(f(v(T))f(v(T + \ell)) | v(T) = k) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{k \in G} \sum_{x \in G} f(k) \gamma^{*\ell}(x) f(xk) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} a_f(x) \gamma^{*\ell}(x) \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma^{*\ell}$ is the ℓ -fold convolution of γ with itself, i.e. $\gamma^{*\ell}(k) = \mathbb{P}(v(t + \ell)v(t)^{-1} = k)$. By the Plancherel formula (as on p. 13 of Diaconis [1]),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x \in G} a_f(x) \gamma^{*\ell}(x) &= \sum_{x \in G} h(x^{-1}) \gamma^{*\ell}(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\rho} d_{\rho} \text{Tr}(\widehat{\gamma^{*\ell}}(\rho) \hat{h}(\rho)) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\rho} d_{\rho} \text{Tr}(\hat{\gamma}(\rho)^{\ell} \hat{h}(\rho)) \end{aligned}$$

since $\widehat{\gamma^{*\ell}}(\rho) = \hat{\gamma}(\rho)^\ell$. The proposition follows. $\square$

Define the *multispectrum*

$$A_f(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n) = \sum_{k \in G} f(k) f(\ell_1 k) \dots f(\ell_n \dots \ell_1 k)$$

for $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n \in G$.

Define the *temporal multispectrum*

$$B_f(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n) = \mathbb{E}(f(v(T)) f(v(T + \ell_1)) \dots f(v(T + \ell_1 + \dots + \ell_n))).$$

The Fourier transforms of A_f and B_f are related by the following.

Proposition 3

$$B_f(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n) = \frac{1}{|G|^{n+1}} \sum \left(\prod_{i=1}^n d_{\rho_i} \right) \text{Tr}((\hat{\gamma}(\rho_1)^{\ell_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \hat{\gamma}(\rho_n)^{\ell_n}) \hat{H}_n(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n))$$

where $H_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = A_f(x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_n^{-1})$, $\hat{H}_n(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n)$ is defined to be $\hat{H}_n(\rho_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_n)$, and the sum is such that $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n$ each range over all irreducible representations of G up to equivalence.

Note that all irreducible representations of G^n are, up to equivalence, of the form $\rho_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_n$ where $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n$ are irreducible representations of G . (See, for example, p. 16 of Diaconis [1].)

Proof of Proposition 3: Similarly to the proof of Proposition 2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} B_f(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n) &= \mathbb{E}(f(v(T)) f(v(T + \ell_1)) \dots f(v(T + \ell_1 + \dots + \ell_n))) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{k \in G} \mathbb{E}(f(v(T)) f(v(T + \ell_1)) \dots f(v(T + \ell_1 + \dots + \ell_n)) | v(T) = k) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{k, x_1, \dots, x_n \in G} f(k) \gamma^{*\ell_1}(x_1) f(x_1 k) \dots \gamma^{*\ell_n}(x_n) f(x_n \dots x_1 k) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_n \in G} \gamma^{*\ell_1}(x_1) \dots \gamma^{*\ell_n}(x_n) \sum_{k \in G} f(k) f(x_1 k) \dots f(x_n \dots x_1 k) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_n \in G} A_f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \gamma^{*\ell_1}(x_1) \dots \gamma^{*\ell_n}(x_n) \end{aligned}$$

We shall use the Plancherel formula on G^n . First define $p(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \gamma^{*\ell_1}(x_1) \dots \gamma^{*\ell_n}(x_n)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} B_f(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n) &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_n \in G} H_n(x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_n^{-1}) p(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \frac{1}{|G|^n} \sum_{\rho} d_{\rho} \text{Tr}(\hat{H}_n(\rho) \hat{p}(\rho)) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|^{n+1}} \sum_{\rho} d_{\rho} \text{Tr}(\hat{p}(\rho) \hat{H}_n(\rho)) \end{aligned}$$

where the sum is over all irreducible representations ρ of G^n up to equivalence. Such representations may be written in the form $\rho = \rho_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{p}(\rho) &= \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_n \in G} \gamma^{*\ell_1}(x_1) \dots \gamma^{*\ell_n}(x_n) \rho_1(x_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_n(x_n) \\ &= \left(\sum_{x_1 \in G} \gamma^{*\ell_1}(x_1) \rho_1(x_1) \right) \otimes \dots \otimes \left(\sum_{x_n \in G} \gamma^{*\ell_n}(x_n) \rho_n(x_n) \right) \\ &= \widehat{\gamma^{*\ell_1}}(\rho_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \widehat{\gamma^{*\ell_n}}(\rho_n) \\ &= \hat{\gamma}(\rho_1)^{\ell_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \hat{\gamma}(\rho_n)^{\ell_n} \end{aligned}$$

The proposition follows. $\square$

Linearity of the Fourier transform implies that to finish the proof of Theorem 1, all we need to show is that A_f suffices to recover f up to a shift, i.e. the following proposition.

Proposition 4 *Suppose $A_{f_1} = A_{f_2}$ with $n = |G|$. Then f_1 is a shift of f_2 .*

Proof: First note that $A_f(x_1, \dots, x_n) > 0$ if and only if there exists an element $k \in G$ such that $f(k) = f(x_1 k) = \dots = f(x_n \dots x_1 k) = 1$. Number the elements of G from 1 to n such that the identity element e is numbered n . To an n -tuple $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in G^n$, assign an n -tuple $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ of integers so that a_1 is the number of x_1 and if $2 \leq j \leq n$, a_j is the smallest integer which is greater than a_{j-1} and congruent modulo n to the number of $x_j \dots x_1$. Let $m(f) = (m_1(f), \dots, m_n(f))$ satisfy $A_f(m_1(f), \dots, m_n(f)) > 0$ such that the n -tuple $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ assigned to it is the lexicographically smallest n -tuple assigned to an n -tuple $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in G^n$ with $A_f(x_1, \dots, x_n) > 0$. (If there are no n -tuples $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ with $A_f(x_1, \dots, x_n) > 0$, then $A_f(e, \dots, e) = 0$ where e

is the identity element of G and $f(k)f(ek)\dots f(e^nk) = 0$ and hence $f(k) = 0$ for all $k \in G$.)

Let i be the largest index such that $a_i < n$ where $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is assigned to $(m_1(f), \dots, m_n(f))$. For some $k \in G$, $f(k)$, $f(m_1k)$, $\dots$, $f(m_i(f)\dots m_1(f)k)$ are all 1; otherwise $A_f(m_1(f), \dots, m_n(f))$ would be 0. Now suppose $f(x) = 1$ for some $x \notin \{k, m_1(f)k, \dots, m_i(f)\dots m_1(f)k\}$. Let y be such that $x = yk$, i.e. $y = xk^{-1}$. We shall create an n -tuple $K = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$ of elements of G such that $A_f(k_1, \dots, k_n) > 0$ while the n -tuple of integers assigned to K is lexicographically smaller than the n -tuple of integers assigned to $m(f)$, contradicting the definition of $m(f)$. If the number assigned to y is greater than the number assigned to $m_i(f)\dots m_1(f)$, then let $k_1 = m_1(f)$, $\dots$, $k_i = m_i(f)$, $k_{i+1} = y(m_i(f)\dots m_1(f))^{-1}$, $k_{i+2} = e, \dots$, $k_n = e$ where e is the identity element of G . Otherwise let j be the smallest value such that the number assigned to y is less than the number assigned to $m_j(f)\dots m_1(f)$. Let $k_1 = m_1(f)$, $\dots$, $k_{j-1} = m_{j-1}(f)$, $k_j = y(m_{j-1}(f)\dots m_1(f))^{-1}$, $k_{j+1} = m_j(f)m_{j-1}(f)\dots m_1(f)y^{-1}$, $k_{j+2} = m_{j+1}(f)$, $\dots$, $k_{i+1} = m_i(f)$, $k_{i+2} = e$, $\dots$, $k_n = e$. (In particular, if $j+2 \leq b \leq i+1$, then $k_b = m_{b-1}(f)$.) In either case, it can be verified that $A_f(k_1, \dots, k_n) > 0$ while the n -tuple of integers assigned to K is lexicographically smaller than the n -tuple of integers assigned to $m(f)$. This contradiction implies that $f(x) = 0$ if x is not one of $k, m_1(f)k, \dots, m_i(f)\dots m_1(f)k$, and so A_f determines f up to a shift.

The proposition follows, and so does Theorem 1. $\square$

3 Proof of Theorem 2

Each irreducible representation is equivalent to an irreducible representation ρ such that $\hat{\gamma}(\rho)$ is upper triangular (and in Jordan canonical form). Thus we may without loss of generality assume that $\hat{\gamma}(\rho_1), \dots, \hat{\gamma}(\rho_n)$ are all upper triangular. The elements of $\hat{J}_n(\rho_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_n)$ are linear combinations of $J_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ where $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ range over the n^n elements of G^n . If $\hat{\gamma}(\rho_1), \dots, \hat{\gamma}(\rho_n)$, and hence (with a natural basis) $\hat{\gamma}(\rho_1)^{\ell_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \hat{\gamma}(\rho_n)^{\ell_n}$ are upper triangular, then $\text{Tr}((\hat{\gamma}(\rho_1)^{\ell_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \hat{\gamma}(\rho_n)^{\ell_n})\hat{J}_n(\rho_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_n))$ excludes elements above the diagonal of $\hat{J}_n(\rho_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_n)$. If $d_{\rho_i} > 1$ for some i , then there will be elements above the diagonal. If G is a finite non-abelian group, then $d_{\rho_i} > 1$ for some irreducible representation ρ_i . (See, for example, p. 15 of Diaconis [1].) Also $\sum_{\rho_i} d_{\rho_i}^2 = n$ where the sum is over all irreducible representations of G up to equivalence. The total number of elements for

all matrices $\hat{J}_n(\rho_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_n)$ is $\sum_{\rho_1} \dots \sum_{\rho_n} (d_{\rho_1} \dots d_{\rho_n})^2 = n^n$. When G is a non-abelian group, the equations

$$\sum d_{\rho_1} \dots d_{\rho_n} \text{Tr}((\hat{\gamma}(\rho_1)^{\ell_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \hat{\gamma}(\rho_n)^{\ell_n}) \hat{J}_n(\rho_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_n)) = 0$$

over all positive integers $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n$ give rise to a system of homogeneous linear equations involving only elements which are on or below the diagonal of $\hat{J}_n(\rho_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_n)$ for some $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n$. When all the elements which are on or below this diagonal for some $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n$ are 0, this system of equations is satisfied. Since there are less than n^n such elements if G is non-abelian, solutions exist where not all $J_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ are 0, and Theorem 2 follows. $\square$

4 Questions for Further Study

In addition to other questions posed in [2], the work here leaves open the question if there are reconstructive random walks on finite non-abelian groups. Perhaps the solutions where J_n is not identically 0 do not come from the difference of two multispectrums A_{f_1} and A_{f_2} of sceneries. Computer exploration on small non-abelian groups might be a place to start exploring that question. Indeed some computer exploration with Maple encouraged the author to consider Theorem 2.

References

- [1] P. Diaconis. *Group Representations in Probability and Statistics*. Hayward, Calif: Institute of Mathematical Statistics, 1988.
- [2] H. Finucane, O. Tamuz, and Y. Yaari. Scenery reconstruction on finite abelian groups. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 124 (2014) 2754-2770.
- [3] H. Matzinger and J. Lember. Reconstruction of periodic sceneries seen along a random walk. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 116 (2006) 1584-1599.
- [4] J.P. Serre. *Linear Representations of Finite Groups*. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1977.